The Rise of Protectionism in 2024 and Beyond

2nd December 2024

Summary of Key Points

- Definition: Protectionism refers to government policies that restrict international trade to protect domestic industries from foreign competition.
- Global Trends: The rise of protectionism has been observed in various regions, including North America, Europe, and Asia, driven by economic nationalism and geopolitical tensions.
- Negative Impacts: Protectionism can lead to higher consumer prices, reduced economic growth, strained international relations, and inefficiencies in domestic industries.
- Regional Examples:
 - North America: The U.S. has implemented tariffs on Chinese goods and renegotiated trade agreements.
 - Europe: The EU has faced challenges with member states adopting protectionist measures.
 - Asia: Countries like India and Indonesia have increased tariffs to protect local industries.
- Future Outlook: The continuation of protectionist policies may lead to a fragmented global economy and increased tensions between nations, especially with the incoming Trump administration threatening new tariffs in 2025.

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the global landscape has witnessed a significant rise in protectionism, characterized by government policies aimed at shielding domestic industries from foreign competition. This trend has been fueled by various factors, including economic nationalism, geopolitical tensions, and the aftermath of the COVID-19 pandemic. As countries grapple with the implications of these policies, it is essential to examine the negative impacts of protectionism and explore examples from different regions around the world. Furthermore, with the incoming Trump administration in 2025 threatening new tariffs, the global trade environment is poised for further disruption.

UNDERSTANDING PROTECTIONISM

Protectionism encompasses a range of policies designed to restrict international trade, including tariffs, quotas, and subsidies. These measures aim to protect domestic industries from foreign competition, often justified by the need to safeguard jobs and promote national security. However, while protectionism may provide short-term benefits to specific sectors, it often leads to broader economic inefficiencies and negative consequences for consumers and the global economy.

THE GLOBAL TREND OF PROTECTIONISM

North America

In North America, the United States has been at the forefront of the protectionist movement, particularly under the Trump administration and continuing into 2024. The U.S. has implemented significant tariffs on imports from China, with the aim of addressing trade imbalances

and protecting American jobs. For instance, tariffs on Chinese goods have been reported to reach as high as 25%, impacting a wide range of products, from electronics to agricultural goods (Holland & Knight, 2024). This approach has led to retaliatory measures from China, further escalating trade tensions and disrupting global supply chains.

The renegotiation of trade agreements, such as the United States-Mexico-Canada Agreement (USMCA), also reflects a protectionist stance. The USMCA aimed to replace the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) and included provisions that favored American workers and industries, such as stricter labor standards and increased tariffs on certain goods (FreightWaves, 2024). While proponents argue that these measures protect domestic jobs, critics contend that they may lead to higher prices for consumers and reduced competitiveness for U.S. businesses in the long run.

Europe

In Europe, protectionism has manifested in various forms, particularly as member states grapple with the implications of globalization and economic integration. The European Union (EU) has faced challenges from member countries adopting unilateral protectionist measures, often in response to domestic pressures. For example, Hungary and Poland have implemented policies aimed at protecting local industries, which has raised concerns about the integrity of the EU's single market (European Commission, 2024).

Additionally, the EU has responded to external pressures by imposing tariffs on imports from countries perceived as engaging in unfair trade practices. The EU's tariffs on steel and aluminum imports from the U.S. are a notable example, reflecting a broader trend of retaliatory measures that can escalate into trade wars (Holland & Knight, 2024). These actions not only strain international relations but also create uncertainty for businesses operating within the EU, potentially hindering investment and economic growth.

Asir

In Asia, countries such as India and Indonesia have adopted protectionist measures to shield their domestic industries from foreign competition. India, for instance, has increased tariffs on a range of imported goods, including electronics and textiles, as part of its "Make in India" initiative aimed at promoting local manufacturing (Seyforth Shaw LLP, 2024). While these policies may support domestic industries in the short term, they can also lead to higher prices for consumers and reduced access to foreign goods.

Indonesia has similarly implemented protectionist measures, including import restrictions on certain agricultural products to support local farmers. These policies have sparked tensions with trading partners and raised concerns about food security and inflation (FreightWaves, 2024). As countries in Asia continue to adopt protectionist measures, the potential for regional trade disputes and economic fragmentation increases.

Latin America

In Latin America, protectionism has also gained traction, particularly in countries like Brazil and Argentina. Both nations have implemented tariffs and import restrictions to protect their agricultural sectors from foreign competition. For example, Brazil has increased tariffs on imported agricultural products, aiming to support local farmers and sta-

Disclaimer:

This white paper is intended for informational purposes only and does not necessarily represent the views of the directors, board, or all members of the International Trade Council. The analysis and recommendations provided herein are based on information available up to October 2023 and are subject to change. Readers are advised to consult professional advisors before making any decisions based on this information.



bilize domestic prices (World Trade Organization, 2024). However, these measures can lead to retaliatory actions from trading partners and may hinder regional trade agreements, such as Mercosur.

Argentina has also adopted protectionist policies, including import quotas and tariffs on various goods, which have been criticized for stifling competition and innovation within the domestic market (FreightWaves, 2024). As these countries prioritize protectionist measures, the potential for economic isolation and reduced foreign investment grows, further complicating their economic recovery efforts.

NEGATIVE IMPACTS OF PROTECTIONISM

Higher Consumer Prices

One of the most immediate consequences of protectionism is the increase in consumer prices. Tariffs and import restrictions lead to higher costs for imported goods, which are often passed on to consumers. For example, the tariffs imposed by the U.S. on Chinese goods have resulted in higher prices for electronics, clothing, and household items, disproportionately affecting low- and middle-income households (Freight-Waves, 2024). This inflationary pressure can reduce consumer spending and overall economic growth.

Reduced Economic Growth

Protectionist policies can stifle economic growth by limiting competition and innovation. When domestic industries are shielded from foreign competition, there is less incentive to improve efficiency and invest in new technologies. This can lead to stagnation in productivity and a decline in the overall competitiveness of the economy (Holland & Knight, 2024). Furthermore, protectionism can disrupt global supply chains, leading to inefficiencies and increased costs for businesses that rely on international trade.

Strained International Relations

The rise of protectionism has also strained international relations, as countries engage in retaliatory measures that can escalate into trade wars. The ongoing trade tensions between the U.S. and China serve as a prime example, with both countries imposing tariffs on each other's goods, leading to uncertainty and volatility in global markets (Seyfarth Shaw LLP, 2024). Such tensions can hinder diplomatic relations and cooperation on other critical issues, such as climate change and security.

Inefficiencies in Domestic Industries

Protectionism can create inefficiencies within domestic industries by reducing competition. When companies are shielded from foreign competitors, they may become complacent and less responsive to consumer needs. This can result in lower-quality products and services, ultimately harming consumers and the economy as a whole (European Commission, 2024). Additionally, industries that rely on imported inputs may face higher costs, further exacerbating inefficiencies.

Long-Term Economic Fragmentation

As protectionist policies become more entrenched, the risk of long-term economic fragmentation increases. Countries may become increasingly isolated from global markets, leading to a decline in international trade and investment. This fragmentation can hinder economic growth and development, particularly in emerging markets that rely on access to global supply chains (World Trade Organization, 2024). The potential for a fragmented global economy raises concerns about the future of multilateral trade agreements and international cooperation.

LOOKING FORWARD: THE INCOMING TRUMP ADMINISTRATION AND NEW TARIFFS

As the Trump administration prepares to take office in 2025, the potential for new tariffs looms large. Trump has indicated a willingness to impose additional tariffs on imports from countries perceived as engaging

in unfair trade practices, particularly China and Mexico (Holland & Knight, 2024). This approach is likely to exacerbate existing trade tensions and could lead to further retaliatory measures from affected countries.

POTENTIAL SCENARIOS FOR 2025

- Escalation of Trade Wars: If the Trump administration follows through on its threats to impose new tariffs, it could trigger a series of retaliatory measures from trading partners, leading to a fullblown trade war. This scenario would likely disrupt global supply chains and increase costs for consumers and businesses alike.
- 2. Increased Domestic Production: In response to new tariffs, companies may shift their production strategies to focus more on domestic manufacturing. While this could create jobs in the short term, it may also lead to higher prices for consumers and reduced access to a diverse range of products.
- 3. Strengthening of Regional Trade Agreements: As countries seek to mitigate the impacts of U.S. protectionism, there may be a push to strengthen regional trade agreements. For example, countries in Asia may deepen their economic ties through agreements like the Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership (RCEP) to counterbalance U.S. influence.
- 4. Global Economic Slowdown: The cumulative effects of rising protectionism could lead to a slowdown in global economic growth. As trade barriers increase, international investment may decline, further exacerbating economic challenges in many regions.

CONCLUSION

The rise of protectionism globally presents significant challenges and risks for the international economy. While countries may adopt protectionist measures to safeguard domestic industries, the negative impacts—such as higher consumer prices, reduced economic growth, strained international relations, and inefficiencies—cannot be overlooked. As nations navigate this complex landscape, it is crucial to consider the long-term implications of protectionism and seek collaborative solutions that promote free trade and economic cooperation. With the incoming Trump administration threatening new tariffs in 2025, the global trade environment is poised for further disruption, necessitating careful consideration of the potential consequences.

REFERENCES

- Bown, C. P. (2024). The WTO and the Rise of Protectionism: A Global Perspective. Retrieved from [Peterson Institute for International Economics]
- European Commission. (2024). Trade Policy Review: EU Member States and Protectionism. Retrieved from [European Commission]
- FreightWaves. (2024). The Impact of U.S. Tariffs on Global Supply Chains. Retrieved from [FreightWaves]
- Holland & Knight. (2024). The Rise of Protectionism: Implications for Global Trade. Retrieved from [Holland & Knight]
- International Monetary Fund. (2024). Global Economic Outlook: The Effects of Protectionism. Retrieved from [IMF]
- Krugman, P. (2024). The Return of Protectionism: A New Economic Reality. Retrieved from [The New York Times]
- OECD. (2024). Trade Policy and Economic Growth: The Case Against Protectionism. Retrieved from [OECD]
- Rodrik, D. (2024). The Global Economy and the Rise of Economic Nationalism. Retrieved from [Harvard University]
- Seyfarth Shaw LLP. (2024). Protectionism and Its Impact on Global Trade Dynamics. Retrieved from [Seyfarth Shaw LLP]
- World Trade Organization. (2024). World Trade Report 2024: Trade and Protectionism. Retrieved from [WTO]
- Baldwin, R. (2024). The Great Convergence: Information Technology and the New Globalization. Retrieved from [Harvard University Press]
- Irwin, D. A. (2024). Trade Policy and Economic Growth: Lessons from History. Retrieved from [Princeton University Press]
- Peterson Institute for International Economics. (2024). The Impact of U.S. Tariffs on Global Trade. Retrieved from [PIIE]
- The Economist. (2024). Protectionism: A Global Threat to Economic Recovery. Retrieved from [The Economist]
- Financial Times. (2024). The Rise of Economic Nationalism: Implications for Global Trade. Retrieved from [Financial Times]
- Reuters. (2024). U.S.-China Trade Tensions: What to Expect in 2025. Retrieved from [Reuters]
- Bloomberg, (2024). The Future of Global Trade: Navigating Protectionism in 2025. Retrieved from [Bloomberg]

Disclaimer:

This white paper is intended for informational purposes only and does not necessarily represent the views of the directors, board, or all members of the International Trade Council. The analysis and recommendations provided herein are based on information available up to October 2023 and are subject to change. Readers are advised to consult professional advisors before making any decisions based on this information.

